CONSACRAT ANIVERSĂRII A 75-A DE LA FONDAREA USMF "NICOLAE TESTEMIȚANU"



INTRARENAL HEMODYNAMICS IN ARTERIAL HYPERTENSION AND HEART FAILURE WITH PRESERVED EJECTION FRACTION

Cabac-Pogorevici Irina, Mihalache Georgeta, Ochisor Viorica, Cojuhari Inessa, Revenco Valeriu, Universitatea de Stat de Medicină și Farmacie "Nicolae Testemițanu", Disciplina de cardiologie

Introduction:

Traditional cardiovascular risk factors in the general population are usually correlated with the prognosis in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF).

Keywords:

intrarenal hemodynamics, heart failure with arterial preserved fraction, ejection hypertension Purpose:

The aim of the present study was to assess the implications of intrarenal hemodynamics (IRH)

in HFpEF.

Mary - 0.0				
Max = 0.20 Min = 0.09	om/s 9m/s		11	
PI = 1.03	3	1.5		X
RI = 0.65	5			10
Angle = 0°				
NO PRES	SURE			
			μ	
	M	[]	(A)	M
A	L DA		111700	

PATIENTS CHARACTERISTICS		
PARAMETER	MEAN VALUE	
AGE	45,26 ± 5,2 years	
RRI	0,6672 ± 0,0452	
RPI	1,2533 ± 0,178	
AT	66,68 ± 2,324	
24h SBP	146,12 ± 13,96 mmHg	
24 h DBP	86,59 ± 6,78 mmHg	
PP	59,10 ± 22,90 mmHg.	
24h HR	75,14 ± 26,86 beats/minute	

Material and methods:

The research included 60 patients with HFpEF aged 18-79 years, examination, underwent 24-hours physical subjects ambulatory electrocardiography monitoring, and echocardiography, intrarenal Doppler ultrasound, obtaining the following IRH parameters: renal resistive index (RRI), renal pulsatile index (RPI), acceleration time (AT).

Results:

The analysis of BP values showed that 22% (13 pts) had stage I HTN, 48% (29 pts) - stage II HTN and 30% (18 pts) - stage III HTN, whereas the mean age of HTN onset was 40,55 ± 10,27 years, the mean HTN duration being of 9,57 ± 7,12 years, mean ejection fraction 56 ± 4,562%, mean NT-proBNP levels 654 ± 93 pg/ml. There was a positive association of only IRR with ambulatory 24 hours SBP (r = 0.359, p<0.01), mean daytime SBP (r = 0.260, p<0.05) ambulatory PP (r = 0.266, p < 0.01), age (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricular mass (r = 0.253, p < 0.01), left ventricul0,459, p < 0,001) and relative wall thickness (r = 0,293 p < 0,01).

Conclusions:

In addition to local renal vascular properties, the central hemodynamic factors significantly influence the IRH in HFpEF. IRH is the result of a complex interaction between renal and systemic vascular factors useful in assessment of a large spectrum of cardiovascular conditions.