# The impact of global climatic variations upon middle ear ossicles dimensions

<sup>1</sup>B. Arensburg, <sup>1</sup>A. Heiman\*, <sup>2</sup>M. Wolf, <sup>1</sup>V. Belkin

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy and Anthropology Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel <sup>2</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head Neck Surgery, The Sheba Medical Center, Tel-Hashomer \*Corresponding author: E-mail: allaheim@post.tau.ac.il.

#### **Abstract**

Hypothesis: The morphology of middle ear ossicles varies between people living in different climatic regions.

Background: The differences between climatic conditions in different world regions have a morphological and physiological influence on human development. The present study is aimed to investigate morphological variations of middle-ear ossicles collected from different geographic locations on the globe. Methods: Middle ear ossicles (total samples 631) were collected from 6 different geographic regions: Australia, Chile, France, India, Israel and Kenya. Latitude and longitude, and climatic parameters (mean temperature, humidity and daylight duration of the warmer and colder months, and altitude above sea level) were collected for each region. The associations between middle ear ossicles' dimensions and climate were evaluated using Pearson's correlations. Results: Significant differences between samples of middle ear ossicles' characteristics were found. Correlations between the 'above sea level' altitude and longitudinal sizes of the malleus, incus and stapes were negative. Correlations between warm temperature and longitudinal sizes of the malleus, incus and stapes were positive. Conclusions: Differences in characteristics of middle ear ossicles encountered between territorial samples could be the result of climatic variations

Key words: middle ear ossicles, climate.

#### Влияние глобальных климатических изменений на размеры косточек среднего уха

Гипотеза: морфология косточек среднего уха отличается у людей, живущих в различных климатических районах. Справочная информация: различия климатических условий в различных регионах мира имеют морфологические и физиологические воздействие на развитие человека. Данное исследование направлено на исследование морфологических изменений косточек среднего уха, собранных в различных географических точках земного шара. Методы: косточки среднего уха (всего 631 образец) были собраны в 6 различных географических регионах: Австралия, Чили, Франция, Индия, Израиль и Кения. Широта и долгота, климатические параметры (средняя температура, влажность и продолжительность светового дня теплых и холодных месяцев, высота над уровнем моря) были определены для каждого региона. Связь между размерами косточек среднего уха и климатом были оценены с использованием корреляции Пирсона. Результат: были найдены значительные различия в характеристиках образцов косточек среднего уха. Корреляция между «над уровнем моря» и продольными размерами молоточка, наковальни и стремечка были обратнопропорциональными. Корреляция между теплой температурой и продольными размерами слуховых косточек были прямопропорциональными. Выводы: Различия в характеристиках косточек среднего уха различных территориальных образцов могут быть результатом климатических изменений.

Ключевые слова: косточки среднего уха, климат.

#### Introduction

The influence of climatic conditions in different regions of the world upon physiological and morphological characteristics of the human body has been largely investigated [1-8]. The skeletal morphogenesis including bone-aging parameters varied significantly among people living in different world climatic areas [1, 9-16].

The present report will focus on structural features of the middle ear ossicles in six human populations living in different geographic regions. The inter-population differences will be described in relation to several aspects of climatic parameters including monthly temperatures, precipitation, altitude, daylight duration, and humidity.

## Material and methods

The structural features of 631 middle ear ossicles collected from France, India, Israel, Kenya, Chile and Australia were analyzed (tab. 1). Normal undamaged right and left ossicles of adult individuals of both sexes were studied. The osteometric analyses of bone samples were prepared on POWER LOOK UMAX-30-bit system with a UTA-II Scanner. All morphometric measurements were performed by the "UTHSCSA" Image Tool (version 3) for Windows (http://ddsdx.uthscsa.edu/dig/itdesc.html). The standard osteometric measurements [17] obtained for the middle ear ossicles are detailed in table 2. Data on geographical coordinates and on climatic factors that were collected for each population is presented in table 3. Climatological data included the mean monthly

temperature in January and July, mean annual precipitation, mean monthly precipitation in January and July, and altitude. The day-light duration (in hours) in January and July were obtained from the Monthly Climatic Data for World-1980-1990 [18]. Appropriate corrective amendments were made for the inversed seasonal changes of climate that accounts for different hemispheres of the globe. In particular, the influences of temperature, humidity, and day-light length (duration) in cold and warm months of the year, January and July for Northern hemisphere and July and January for the Southern hemisphere, were analyzed. In addition to analysis of the absolute meteorological parameters, we estimated inter-seasonal differences between parameters of warm and cold months of the year for temperature, humidity, and day lengths.

All results were expressed by means  $\pm$  SD. The analyses included descriptive statistics, correlation analysis (Pearson and Spearmen). A posteriori multiple comparisons of means were applied by the Tukey Kramer honest significant difference test (Breakdown & one-way ANOVA). The P values indicated the post hoc significance levels for the respective pairs of means considering p < 0.05 as significant. The aforementioned calculations were performed using the SPSS statistical package (1990) and STATISTICA 6 package StatSoft. Inc (2002).

Number of middle ear ossicles of different global regions

|         | France | India | Israel | Kenya | Chile | Australia | Total |
|---------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Malleus | 31     | 48    | 96     | 47    | 27    | 18        | 267   |
| Incus   | 31     | 35    | 89     | 47    | 25    | 21        | 248   |
| Stapes  | 17     | 35    | 21     | 31    | 8     | 4         | 116   |

Table 2
Standard osteometric measurements of ear ossicles

Table 1

| Malleus                                       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Total length                                  | Maximal distance between top of the head and distal edge of the manubrium   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Length of manubrium                           | Maximal distance between superior edge of the lateral process and the distal end of manubrium   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Width of head                                 | Maximal width of the projected outline of the head, lying on its anterior aspect; measurement taken perpendicular to the long axis of the neck                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Length of head and neck                       | (calculated) difference between total length of the manubrium and total length of the malleus   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angle between long axis of the manubrium neck | measured in its posterior outline, the bone lying on its posterior aspect   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index   | Length of manubrium x 100/ total length   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Incus   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total length                                  | Maximal distance between superior edge of the body and the distal end of the long process; measured along the longitudinal axis of the long process               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total width                                   | Maximal distance between the tip of the short process and the most protruding (inferior) border of the articular malleolar facet; bone lying on its medial aspect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angle   | Between the inferior edge of the short process and the posterior edge of the long process; outlined in projection; bone lying on the medial aspect                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index   | Total width x 100/total length of Incus   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Stapes  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total length                                  | Maximal distance between the vestibular aspect of the footplate and top of the head   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Length of footplate                           | Maximum length of the long axis   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Index   | Length of foot plate x 100/length of foot plate   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Climatic characteristics of six global regions

| Parameters             | Region                    | France | India | Israel | Kenya  | Chile | Australia |
|------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|
| Latitude (°)           |                           | 44.5N  | 22.5N | 32N    | 1.18S  | 33.2S | 35.2S     |
| Longitu                | Longitude (°)             |        | 88.2E | 35E    | 36.45E | 70.4W | 149.0E    |
| Altitude(abov          | Altitude(above sea level) |        | 9     | 33     | 1661   | 567   | 6         |
| Daylight               | January                   | 9.8    | 11.75 | 11.0   | 12.5   | 15    | 14.7      |
| Duration (hours)       | July                      | 15.8   | 14.1  | 15.2   | 12.5   | 11    | 10.5      |
| Precipitation (mm)     | January                   | 76     | 10    | 10.3   | 64     | 0.4   | 59        |
|                        | July                      | 53     | 325   | 23.3   | 17.5   | 86.6  | 41        |
| Rainfall (annual) (mm) |                           | 852    | 1601  | 100    | 1024   | 367   | 618       |
| Temperature (°C)       | January                   | 5.5    | 20    | 12     | 18     | 20.5  | 21.7      |
|                        | July                      | 21.1   | 29    | 28     | 19     | 8.5   | 15.5      |

N = north, S = south, E = east, W = west.

## Results

Direct osteometric measurements of the malleus, incus, and stapes of the six regional groups are presented in table 4.

Significant differences of the middle ear ossicles morphometric characteristics between the samples of each geographical region were found and presented in table 5.

The correlation between the ossicular morphometric parameters and the meteorological parameters of climatic conditions on each geographical region are detailed in table 6 (Data detailed for values of significance, only). The most prominent results included: a. negative correlation between various measures of all three ossicles and regional altitude; b. negative correlations of measures of the incus and malleus and hot month precipitation and annual rainfall; c. positive correlations between parameters of all ossicles and high temperature and temperature differences of warm and cold months of the year.

Table 4
Measurements of ear ossicles of different geographical samples

| Traits                        |       | France | India  | Israel | Kenya Chile |        | Australia |
|-------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------|
| Malleus                       |       |        |        |        |             |        |           |
| Total Length (mm)             | Means | 7.95   | 7.76   | 8.04   | 7.38        | 7.63   | 7.33      |
|                               | SD    | 0.31   | 0.40   | 0.41   | 0.35        | 0.47   | 0.44      |
| Head width (mm)               | Means | 2.23   | 2.21   | 2.38   | 2.25        | 2.31   | 2.34      |
|                               | SD    | 0.11   | 0.21   | 0.23   | 0.16        | 0.16   | 0.21      |
| <b>M</b> 1: 1 (1 ( )          | Means | 4.49   | 4.45   | 4.81   | 4.18        | 4.31   | 4.24      |
| Manubrium length (mm)         | SD    | 0.37   | 0.37   | 0.42   | 0.36        | 0.30   | 0.37      |
| Length (Total-manubrium) (mm) | Means | 3.46   | 3.31   | 3.23   | 3.20        | 3.32   | 3.09      |
| Length (10tal-manubilum) (mm) | SD    | 0.27   | 0.35   | 0.42   | 0.34        | 0.35   | 0.29      |
| Malleus index                 | Means | 56.50  | 57.40  | 59.90  | 56.7        | 56.6   | 57.70     |
|                               | SD    | 3.46   | 3.93   | 4.52   | 4.08        | 2.97   | 3.24      |
| Mallous angle (9)             | Means | 144.59 | 138.28 | 141.71 | 154.46      | 142.53 | 150.32    |
| Malleus angle (°)             | SD    | 4.48   | 8.29   | 7.81   | 42.42       | 3.36   | 6.68      |

| Incus                     |       |        |       |       |       |       |       |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Total Langth (mm)         | Means | 6.48   | 6.42  | 6.79  | 6.10  | 6.53  | 6.36  |
| Total Length (mm)         | SD    | 0.32   | 0.32  | 0.30  | 0.45  | 0.45  | 0.37  |
|                           | Means | 5.02   | 4.85  | 5.18  | 4.72  | 4.92  | 4.76  |
| Width (mm)                | SD    | 0.29   | 0.26  | 0.32  | 0.38  | 0.35  | 0.32  |
| Incus index               | Means | 76.83  | 75.62 | 77.83 | 77.41 | 75.52 | 77.58 |
| incus index               | SD    | 5.08   | 3.50  | 6.27  | 5.19  | 3.39  | 7.68  |
| I                         | Means | 87.28  | 87.88 | 91.22 | 88.23 | 87.89 | 88.43 |
| Incus angle (°)           | SD    | 4.04   | 6.88  | 5.71  | 6.47  | 5.73  | 4.19  |
|                           |       | Stapes |       |       |       |       |       |
| Total height (mm)         | Means | 3.35   | 3.43  | 3.41  | 3.11  | 3.38  | 3.26  |
| Total height (mm)         | SD    | 0.28   | 0.18  | 0.17  | 0.26  | 0.20  | 0.17  |
| Width (foot plate) (mark) | Means | 2.75   | 2.81  | 2.82  | 2.75  | 2.91  | 2.88  |
| Width (foot plate) (mm)   | SD    | 0.20   | 0.17  | 0.13  | 0.18  | 0.07  | 0.10  |
| Stones index              | Means | 82.65  | 82.00 | 83.51 | 88.68 | 86.36 | 81.94 |
| Stapes index              | SD    | 3.96   | 5.26  | 7.52  | 5.58  | 5.23  | 4.49  |

Table 5
Differences of significance of morphometric characteristics between samples of each geographical region

|                          | France    | India      | Israel  | Kenya     | Chile      | Australia |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
|                          | 1         | 2          | 3       | 4         | 5          | 6         |  |  |  |
| Malleus                  |           |            |         |           |            |           |  |  |  |
| Total length             | 4, 5, 6   | 3, 4, 5, 6 | 2, 4, 5 | 1, 2, 3   | 1, 2, 3, 6 | 12,5      |  |  |  |
| Head width               | 2, 4, 5,6 | 1,3,4      | 2       | 1,2       |            | 1         |  |  |  |
| Manubrium length         | 2, 4, 5,6 | 1,3,4,5,6  | 2,4     | 1,2,3     | 1,2        | 1,2       |  |  |  |
| Length (total manubrium) | 2, 4, 5   | 1,3,6      | 2,5     | 1         | 1,3,6      | 2,5       |  |  |  |
| Malleus index            | 2         | 3,4,5,6    | 2       | 2         | 2          | 2         |  |  |  |
| Malleus angle            | 4         | 4,5        | 4,5     | 1,2,3,6   | 3,4        | 4         |  |  |  |
|                          |           | Incus      |         |           |            |           |  |  |  |
| Total Length             | 2,3       | 3,4        | 2,6     | 1,2,5,6   | 4          | 3,4       |  |  |  |
| Width                    | 4         | 3,4,5      | 2,4     | 1,2,3,5,6 | 2,4        | 4         |  |  |  |
| Incus index              |           | 4          |         | 2         |            |           |  |  |  |
| Incus angle              | 2         | 3,4,5,6    | 2       | 2,5,      | 2,4        | 2         |  |  |  |
| Stapes                   |           |            |         |           |            |           |  |  |  |
| Total height             | 4         | 4          | 4       | 1,2,3,6   |            | 4         |  |  |  |
| Width (foot plate)       | 6         |            |         |           |            | 1         |  |  |  |
| Stapes index             | 4         | 4          | 4       | 1,2,3     |            |           |  |  |  |

Table 6
Matrix of the correlation coefficients\* between measured ear ossicles parameters and climatic characteristics \*\*

| Ossicle parameters  Climatic parameters | Total Length-<br>Incus | Width Incus | Total Length-<br>Malleus | Length-Manubrium<br>(Malleus) | Total height<br>Stapes |
|---|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Altitude                                | -0.45                  | -0.32       | -0.44                    | -0.41                         | -0.51                  |
| Hot month temperature                   | 0.40                   | 0.30        | 0.45                     | 0.45                          | 0.45                   |

| Dif temperature         | 0.54  | 0.49  | 0.54  | 0.53  | 0.36  |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Rainfall ( annual)      | -0.38 | -0.35 | -0.26 | -0.36 |       |
| Cold month prec (mm)    | 0.43  |       |       | 0.36  |       |
| Hot month prec (mm)     | -0.24 | -0.23 | -0.31 |       | 0.23  |
| Cold month duration day |       |       |       |       | -0.32 |
| Hot month duration day  | 0.30  | 0.22  |       |       | 0.38  |
| Dif day duration        | 0.28  |       |       |       | 0.36  |

Dif = difference, prec = precipitation, \*all coefficients are significant (p < 0.05), Correlation < 0.22 not presented, \*\* Full matrix not presented.

## **Discussion**

The present study, buttressed by univariate analysis, shows that our osteometric data correlates significantly with altitude above see level. Yet there is also a significant correlation with the mean warm temperature and interseasonal temperature differences. It is well known that temperature and humidity are basic climatic factors which directly influence the level of thermoregulation and, indirectly, the activity of circulating system, including the main parameters of metabolism and metabolic processes [19-23]. Residents of contrasting climatic-geographical regions differed significantly between some physiological parameters, such as water-salt exchange, circulating and endocrine functions, aging of bones [7, 21, 24, 25]. In particular, Belkin et al [7] found that climatic factors such as temperature, humidity, and inter-seasonal temperature differences may predispose the early onset of skeletal changes.

From a bio-climatological point of view, seasonal climatic contrasts were correlated with specific adverse effects on health [20, 26, 27].

Human middle ear ossicular morphology and size are fully developed by the 32 week of pregnancy [28, 29]. Several reports [30, 31] described a relationship between chronic hypoxia at high altitudes and fetal growth, reduced birth weight, and increased infant mortality. Krampl et al. [32] using ultrasound fetal biometry, have shown that all fetal measurements including biparietal and occipitofrontal diameters, head and abdominal circumferences, femur length, and fetal weight, followed a lower trajectory in high altitude places than at sea level. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that climatic parameters influence the intra-uterine morphogenesis of the ossicles, especially related to the hypoxia that characterizes high altitude conditions.

In most species, individuals reared at lower temperatures have increased adult body sizes (33-34). Smith et al (35) found that none of the environmental variables included in their study (altitude, latitude, rainfall, and temperature) showed a significant correlation with temporal bone shape, however, a significant positive correlation was found between size and temperature and size and latitude. This does not apply to the intrauterine development of middle ear ossicles.

In conclusion, it is reasonable to assume, that the results of the present study confirm the hypothesis that a straight relationship exists between the complete fetal development of the ear ossicles, the altitude over the sea level, the temperature and the climatic inter-seasonal distinctions.

#### Reference

- 1. Alexeeva, T.I. Human biology and geographical environment. Moscow. 'Idea'. 1977, 302 p.
- 2. Baker PT. The biology of high altitude peoples. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978, 391 p.
- 3. Kenney NL, Hogson JL. Heat tolerance, thermoregulation and aging. Sports Med 1985;4:446-56.
- 4. Belkin V. Biomedical aspects of the development of mountain regions: a case-study for the Gorno-Badakhshan autonomic region, Tajikistan. Mountain Res Dev 1992;12:63-70.
- 5. Livshits G, Vainder M, Pavlovsky OM, Kobyliansky E. Population biology of human aging: Ethnic and Climatic Variation of Bone age Scores. Hum Biol 1996;62:293-314.
- 6. Peter I, Otremski I, Livshits G. Geographic variation in vascular mortality in Eurasia: spatial autocorrelation analysis of mortality variables and risk factors. Ann Hum Biol 1996;23:471-90.
- 7. Belkin V, Livshits G, Otremski I, Kobyliansky E. Aging bone score and climatic factors. Am J Phys Anthropol 1998;106:349-59.

- 8. Hanna JM. Climate, altitude, and blood pressure. Hum Biol 1999;71:553-82.
- 9. Dickinson RE. Regional ecology: The study of man's environment. New York: J Wiley & Sons, 1974;200 p.
- 10. Beals K.L., Smith C.L., Dodd S.M.. Climate and Evolution of Brachycephalization. Am J Phys Anthropol, 1983;62:425-437.
- 11. Beals KL, Smith CL, Dodd SM. Brain Size, Cranial Morphology, Climate, and Time Machines. Curr Anthropol 1984;25:301-30.
- 12. Goldberg E, Kobyliansky E, Kuperman S, Pavlovsky OM. The age osteometric status of Israelites (Russian). Vopr Anthropol 1993;87:80-86.
- 13. Pollitzer WS, Anderson JB. Ethnic and genetic differences in bone mass: A review with a hereditary vs. environmental perspective. Am J Clin Nutr 1989;50:1244-59.
- 14. Walter SD. The analysis of regional patterns in health data. II. The power to detect environmental effects. Am J Epidemiol 1992;136:742-59.7
- 15. Beall CM. Tibetan and Andean contrasts in adaptation to high-altitude hypoxia. Adv Exp Med Biol 2000;475:63-74.
- 16. Kobyliansky E, Livshits G, Pavlovsky OM. Population biology of human aging: Method of assessment and sex variation. Hum Biol 1995;67:87-109.
- 17. Arensburg B, Harell M, Nathan H. The human middle ear ossicles, morphometry and taxonomic implications. J Hum Evol 1981:10:199-205.
- 18. Monthly Climatic Data for the World. http://www.wmo.ch/pages/prog/wcp/ wcdmp/monthly.html
- 19. Hentschel G, and Turowki E. Classification of climate from a human biometeorological point of view both on a large and local scale. In.: Climate and Human Health. Proceedings of the International Symposium WMO/WHO/UNEP, vol.1, Leningrad, "Gidrometeoizdat".1988;139-59.
- 20. Komarov FI, Rapoport SI, Eremina LV, Kamrakov AV. A chronological approach in the study of the influence of weather conditions on sick and healthy human being. In.: Climate and Human Health. Proceedings of the International Symposium. WMO/WHO/UNEP, vol.2, Leningrad, "Gidrometeoizdat".1988;33-6.
- 21. Bruce N, Elfold J, Wannamethee G, Shaper AG. The contribution of environmental temperature and humidity to geographical variation in blood pressure. J Hypertens 1991;9:851-8.
- 22. Kenney NL, Buskirk ER. Functional consequences of sacropenia: effect on thermoregulation. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1995; 50:78-85.
- 23. Scarpace PJ, Matheny M. Thermogenesis in brown adipose tissue with age: post-receptor activation by forskolin. Pflugers Arch 1996;431:388-94.
- 24. Nicolau CY, Haus E, Lacatva DJ, et al. Chronobiology of serum iron concentration in subjects of different ages at different geographical location. Endocrinology 1987;25:63-82.
- 25. Donofrio LM, Milikan LE. Dermatogic diseases of eastern Africa. Dermatol Clin, 1994;12:621-8.
- 26. Deryapa N.R. Bioclimatological aspects of human health and meteotropic diseases. In: Climate and Human Health. Proceedings of the International Symposium WMO/WHO/UNEP, vol., Leningrad, «Gidrometeoizdat».1988;68-82.
- 27. Sue-Chu M, Larsson L, Bjemer L. Prevalence of asthma in young crosscountry skiers in central Scandinavia: differences between Norway and Sweden. Respir Med 1996;90:99-105.
- 28. Yokoyama T, Iino Y, Kakizaki K, Murakami Y. Human temporal bone study on the postnatal ossification process of auditory ossicles. Laryngoscope 1999;109:927-30.
- 29. Mallo M. Embryological and genetic aspects of middle ear development. Int J Dev Biol 1998;42:11-22.
- 30. Moore LG, Young D, McCullough RE, Droma T, Zamudio S. Tibetan protection from intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and reproductive loss at high altitude. Am J Hum Biol 2001;13:635-44.
- 31. Paranka M, Brown M, Thomas P, Peabody J, Clark R. Are very low birth weight infants born at high altitude at greater risk for adverse outcomes? J Pediatr 2001;139:669-72.
- 32. Krampl E, Lees C, Bland JM, et al. Fetal biometry at 4300 m compared to sea level in Peru. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol 2000;16:9-18.
- 33. Kingsolver JG, Hedrick TL: Biomechanical acclimation: flying cold. Curr Biol 2008;23;18(18):R876.
- 34. Kaustuv R: Dynamics of Body Size Evolution. Science 2008;321(5895):1451-2.
- 35. Smith HF, Terhune CE, Lockwood CA: Genetic, geographic, and environmental correlates of human temporal bone variation. Am J Phys Anthropol 2007;134:312-22.