

REVIEW ARTICLES

<https://doi.org/10.52418/moldovan-med-j.65-2.22.07>
UDC: 616.391:577.161.21/.22+616.71-007.234

Vitamin D₂ versus vitamin D₃ as a risk factor in compromised bone health

*¹Chiril Voloc, ²Aliona Rotari, ³Alexandru Voloc, ⁴Eliane Kamgaing Kuissi,
⁵Joel Fleury Djoba Siawaya, ⁴Simon Jonas Ategbo

¹Arsenie Gutan Department of Oro-Maxillo-Facial Surgery and Oral Implantology,

²Municipal Clinical Hospital for Children No 1, Chisinau, the Republic of Moldova,

³Department of Pediatrics, Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy,

⁴Department of Pediatrics, University of Health Sciences, Libreville, Gabon,

⁵Mother-Child University Hospital Center Jeanne Ebori Foundation, Libreville, Gabon

Authors' ORCID iDs, academic degrees and contributions are available at the end of the article

*Corresponding author – Chiril Voloc, e-mail: chiril.voloc@gmail.com

Manuscript received September 15, 2022; revised manuscript November 30, 2022; published online December 20, 2022

Abstract

Background: Vitamin D plays an important role in the prevention of many diseases. More than 1 billion people worldwide suffer from vitamin D deficiency. Vitamin D deficiency can contribute to the development of 16 types of cancer (breast, colon, prostate, etc.), cardiovascular diseases, stroke, autoimmune diseases, periodontal pathologies, transplant failure in endo-alveolar surgery, etc. There are several risk factors that would prevent the achievement of treatment objectives. The national protocol for deficiency rickets prevention privileges vitamin D₂ versus vitamin D₃, which creates a medical risk factor compromising oral health in both children and adults. The article provides sufficient arguments in favour of vitamin D₃ vs vitamin D₂ prescription for prophylactic and treatment purposes.

Conclusions: Considering that vitamin D deficiency is currently a global public health problem, it can be proposed to declare vitamin D deficit/deficiency a priority public health problem at the national level. Vitamin D₃ should be elective in preventing deficit. Taking into account the multitude of acute and chronic diseases related to vitamin D deficiency, in order to improve the status of vitamin D in all population categories, it is necessary to include vitamin D₃ in the list of molecules fully subsidized by the state and distributed free of charge at least to children under the age of 5 years and adolescents in the period of intensive growth.

Key words: Vitamin D deficiency, osteoporosis, risk factor, oral health, rickets.

Cite this article

Voloc C, Rotari A, Voloc A, Kuissi EK, Djoba Siawaya JF, Ategbo SJ. Vitamin D₂ versus vitamin D₃ as a risk factor in compromised bone health. *Mold Med J.* 2022;65(2):47-50. <https://doi.org/10.52418/moldovan-med-j.65-2.22.07>.

Introduction

Vitamin D deficiency is a public health problem, affecting more than a billion children and adults worldwide [1]. There is a strong correlation between vitamin D deficiency and a range of acute and chronic diseases and conditions, such as hypocalcemia in newborns, rickets/osteomalacia in children and adolescents, obesity, osteoporosis, type 1 diabetes, asthma, juvenile arthritis, high blood pressure, premenstrual syndrome in teenage girls, depression, fibromyalgia, chronic asthenia syndrome, schizophrenia, neuro-degenerative diseases, including Alzheimer's disease, sarcopenia in adults. Vitamin D deficiency can contribute to the development of 16 types of cancer (breast, colon, prostate, etc.), cardiovascular diseases, stroke, autoimmune diseases, periodontal pathologies, transplant failure in endo-alveolar surgery, etc.

Analysis and discussion

Studies on the role and involvement of vitamin D in oral health are still too few and too recent, but some leads are emerging. Thus, hypovitaminosis D would be correlated with a higher risk of periodontitis particularly through an overexpression of RANK L, responsible for osteoclastogenesis [2, 3], also leading to a decrease in bone density [4]. The deficiency would also increase the rate of dental loss, again in correlation with bone metabolism [5] but also through a lower resistance to infection.

The link between caries risk in children and serum vitamin D levels has been demonstrated in some studies, but the results are still conflicting [6-8]. On the other hand, its role in MIH (Molar – Incisor – Hypomineralization) has been statistically demonstrated [9]. In terms of implantology, vitamin D is gradually emerging as a factor

favoring good osseointegration, administered systemically [10, 11] or topically [12], as well as a better defense against infections, especially during bone grafting.

For a successful promotion of national strategies for vitamin D deficiency correction, it is necessary to identify and prevent the risk factors that lead to the high maintenance of its metabolism disturbance. The number of risk factors for hypovitaminosis D varies from country to country. Their identification and correction would allow a much more effective prophylaxis of rickets and other conditions related to vitamin D deficiency, since its deficiency begins during pregnancy and is associated with a significant reduction in bone mineral density, which persists until the age of 9-10 years after birth and even until adulthood if it is not corrected in time [13]. The risks of developing a vitamin D deficiency are found in all corners of the world, regardless of the socio-economic level of the countries. Thus, official statistics from Africa, Australia, the Far East, Mongolia, New Zealand, Brazil, have documented a continuous increase in risk factors for vitamin D deficiency in both children and adults [14-16].

Putting aside the publications of the last 5 years from France, Canada and the USA, on the need to review the prophylactic doses used in vitamin D deficiency to increase them for all population categories that aim not only to strengthen bone health, but also extraosseous health (oral health, improvement of prognosis after surgery in endo-alveolar procedures, prophylaxis of 16 types of cancer, strengthening the immune and nutritional status, decreasing the incidence and prevalence of cardiovascular, rheumatic, renal, gastrointestinal, dermatological, neurological, neuro-psychological diseases, etc.), it is important to point out that in the national protocol for the prevention of deficiency rickets of the Ministry of Health, Labor and Social Protection No 105 of 04.06.2010, vitamins D₂ and D₃ are considered equivalent both in terms of dosage and action. The research in recent years has eloquently demonstrated that these vitamins, having different origins, are also very different in terms of effectiveness on the human body and phosphocalcic metabolism [11-13, 17-21], almost unanimously giving priority to vitamin D₃.

Thus, numerous randomized studies or meta-analysis have demonstrated that the use of Vitamin D₃ is still more effective in increasing the serum level of 25(OH)D₃, compared to the administration of the same dose of Vit D₂, especially in long-term treatment duration [22-24].

Vitamins D₂ and D₃ work as prohormones, therefore, do not have independent biological effects, a series of biochemical transformations in the human body being required for them to manifest the effect. These 2 molecules are of different origin – Vitamin D₂ – of vegetable origin, vitamin D₃ – of animal origin, differing at the biochemical level by the structure of the lateral chains, but having the sterol ring in common. To become active, both substances need two successive hydroxylations – the first in the liver – under the influence of 25-hydroxylase (with

the involvement of microsomal cytochrome P450 2R1 and mitochondrial cytochrome P450 27A1), resulting in 25-hydroxyvitamin D (calcidiol). In the kidneys, a second hydroxylation occurs under the influence of the enzyme 1- α -hydroxylase (cytochrome P450 B1), resulting in 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₂/D₃. Hydroxylations are controlled by the level of parathormone, through homeostatic mechanisms. Although both vitamins eventually reach the active form of calcitriol, statistical data show that taking D₃ results in a more pronounced increase in 25(OH)D levels compared to D₂.

Scientists have established that vitamins D₂ and D₃ have different affinities for the vitamin D receptor (VDR), which in turn activates 24-hydroxylase – the enzyme responsible for the inactive metabolite calcitriol formation. Moreover, vitamin D₂ is inactivated faster by this enzyme than vitamin D₃, so it has a shorter half-life than vitamin D₃. It was found that 24(R),25-dihydroxyergocalciferol (24,25-(OH)₂D₂) has 1.7 times weaker affinity than 24(R),25 dihydroxycholecalciferol (24,25-(OH)₂D₃), the latter also having a much higher affinity to the plasma transport protein of vitamin D – DBP – resulting in a half-life 1.3 times longer than 1,25-(OH)₂D₂ vis-à-vis VDR in the intestine. Scientists claim that this plays an important role in the difference in the action of vitamins D₂ and D₃. It should be noted that 1,24,25(OH)₂D₃ is also an inactivated product, but already of cholecalciferol. Unlike 1,24,25(OH)₃D₂, it maintains its affinity for VDR and requires one more oxidation to become completely inactive. Therefore, this additional step gives cholecalciferol (vitamin D₃) an increased potential for biological activity and maintenance of an adequate 25(OH)D status in the body [19, 25]. Vitamin D₃ is assumed to be the “preferred” substrate for the liver 25-hydroxylase, which in combination with the difference in VDR affinity of vitamins D₃ and D₂ and inactivation rate, respectively, only reinforces the importance of using vitamin D₃ prophylaxis.

According to a review by Houghton and Vieth in 2006 [24], the metabolic differences between vitamins D₂ and D₃ are due to the difference in the internal chain structure of the vitamins. Thus, ergocalciferol (vitamin D₂) still has a methyl group in position 24, which slows down the rate of conversion to 25(OH)D and respectively decreases the affinity towards the vitamin D binding protein (DBP). Armas et al. and Heaney et al. demonstrated that vitamin D₃ induces a faster and longer response in maintaining serum 25(OH)D levels. They also demonstrated that after a single bolus administration of 50000 IU of ergocalciferol (vit D₂), 25(OH)D₂ values decrease much faster (on the 14th day) compared to 25(OH)D₃ at the same dose of cholecalciferol (elevated values are maintained even on the 28th day) [19, 25]. Thus, 50 000 IU of D₃ and D₂ respectively were used in 2 distinct groups for 12 weeks. The values of the 25(OH)D growth curve were higher for 25(OH)D₃ than for 25(OH)D₂. Additionally, it was determined that 6 weeks after finishing the administration of bolus vitamins,

the degradation rate of serum 25(OH)D₂ was higher than for 25(OH)D₃, respectively the concentration of the latter being higher in the serum, and of ergocalciferol decreasing to the initial base values.

Therefore, the most plausible explanation for the better effect of vitamin D₃ supplementation is: a) the increased affinity of vitamin D₃ and its metabolites to VDR, DBP and liver 25-hydroxylase; b) the lack of liver's ability to directly hydroxylate position 24 of the internal chain of vit D₃ as opposed to vit D₂.

A 2011 Cochrane study highlighted the significant differences between the two vitamins and the death rate examined for people who supplemented their diet with vitamin D₂ compared to those who did so with vitamin D₃. Analysis of 50 randomized controlled trials, which included nearly 100000 participants, showed a relative risk reduction of 6% among those who used D₃ and an increase in relative risk of 2% among those who used D₂.

According to recent research, vitamin D₃ is about 85% better at increasing and maintaining vitamin D concentrations in the body and produces a storage of vitamin D 200-300% higher than vitamin D₂. It is repeatedly mentioned that any form needs to be converted by the body into a more active form, and vitamin D₃ is converted 500% faster than vitamin D₂. The latter has a shorter deposit life and binds poorly to blood proteins, further hindering their effectiveness.

Moreover, synthetic vitamin D₃ is produced as analog of the natural one by obtaining 7-dehydrocholesterol from cholesterol and then by UV irradiation it turns into D₃. Vitamin D₂, however, is synthesized from ergosterol which is obtained chemically from ergot extracted from molds (fungi). Thus, vitamin D₂, in addition to low biological activity, also has instability to temperature differences, humidity or even dependent on storage containers. Contrary to this, vitamin D₃ is stable. The instability and low purity of vitamin D₂ may also favor higher toxicity compared to D₃, which is more stable and much more purified [24].

Vitamin D₃ increases the total and free 25(OH)D level more than D₂ (25(OH)D concentration increases practically twice faster per week for vitamin D₃ versus vitamin D₂). Finally, another argument in favor of vitamin D₃ is that laboratories do not have kits to determine 25(OH)D₂, which can lead to errors in assessing the status of vitamin D in the body.

In conclusion it can be supposed that the determination of vitamin D status may also be necessary pre-operatively, pre-implant or before any bone grafting.

As several studies have shown, age should not be taken into account, as even young people and children can be deficient.

The elderly population is considered to be deficient, but a preliminary assessment of vit D status would enable better tailoring of supplementation.

Prevention in the field of oral health should be based on more frequent dosing of vitamin D: such test could be

considered by its importance as equivalent to taking blood pressure when examined by a physician.

The elements that suggest a deficiency:

- Population groups: elderly, obese, pregnant women
- Clinical: nonspecific diffuse musculoskeletal pain; spontaneous fractures; chronic kidney disease; chronic generalized fatigue; alcoholism and smoking, depression
- Radiological: Decreased bone density
- Biological: increased parathyroid hormone (PTH), hypocalcemia.

Conclusions

Based on the above, the following course of action is proposed:

1. Daily intake of vitamin D is on average 2000 to 4000 IU [25]. The daily intake will therefore have to compensate for the latter.

2. In a healthy population, classical supplementation is around 800 to 1200 IU/day without any risk of toxicity, or 50000 IU/month [25]. People at risk should logically receive a higher dose.

Supplementation can be done in several ways:

- Droplets (Uvedose) 1 million IU percent: 6 to 12 drops per day
- Vials (Uvedose) 100000 IU, 3 to 4 times a year
- Tablets (200, 400, 800 or 1000 IU per tablet depending on the deficiency, 1 tablet per day).

3. For an attack treatment (stoss method), supplementation is done in 2 to 4 doses of 100000 IU, 15 days apart, depending on the severity of hypovitaminosis D.

4. There is a proposal that the currently existing national protocol on deficiency rickets be adapted according to the presented information, favoring the fractional or stoss prescription of vitamin D₃.

5. Based on the fact that vitamin D deficiency is currently a global public health problem, it is necessary to declare the deficit/deficiency of vitamin D as a priority public health problem at the national level.

6. Taking into account the multitude of acute and chronic diseases related to vitamin D deficiency, in order to improve the status of vitamin D in all population categories, it would be useful to include vitamin D₃ in the list of molecules fully subsidized by the state and distributed free of charge at least to children under the age of 5 years and adolescents in the period of intensive growth.

References

1. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *N Engl J Med*. 2007 Jul 19;357(3):266-81. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra070553.
2. Kull M Jr, Kallikorm R, Tamm A, et al. Seasonal variance of 25-(OH) vitamin D in the general population of Estonia, a Northern European country. *BMC Public Health*. 2009; 9:22. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-9-22.
3. Joseph R, Nagrale AV, Joseraj MG, et al. Low levels of serum Vitamin D in chronic periodontitis patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a hospital-based cross-sectional clinical study. *Indian Soc Periodontol*. 2015 Sep-Oct;19(5):501-6. doi: 10.4103/0972-124X.167162.

4. Martelli FS, Martelli M, Rosati C, et al. Vitamin D: relevance in dental practice. *Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab*. 2014 Jan;11(1):15-91.
5. Nakamichi Y, Takahashi N. Current topics on Vitamin D. The role of active forms of vitamin D in regulation of bone remodeling. *Clin Calcium*. 2015 Mar;25(3):395-402.
6. Zhan Y, Samietz S, Holtfreter B, et al. Prospective study of serum 25-hydroxy Vitamin D and tooth loss. *J Dent Res*. 2014 May 14;93(7):639-644. doi: 10.1177/0022034514534985.
7. Schroth RJ, Rabbani R, Loewen G, et al. Vitamin D and dental caries in children. *Dent Res*. 2016 Feb;95(2):173-9. doi: 10.1177/0022034515616335.
8. Schroth RJ, Levi JA, Sellers EA, et al. Vitamin D status of children with severe early childhood caries: a case-control study. *BMC Pediatr*. 2013;13:174. doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-13-174.
9. Schroth RJ, Lavelle C, Tate R, et al. Prenatal vitamin D and dental caries in infants. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(5):e1277-84. doi: 10.1542/peds.2013-2215.
10. Kühnisch J, Thiering E, Kratzsch J, et al. Elevated serum 25(OH)-vitamin D levels are negatively correlated with molar-incisor hypomineralization. *J Dent Res*. 2015;94(2):381-7. doi: 10.1177/0022034514561657.
11. Dvorak G, Fügl A, Watzek G, et al. Impact of dietary vitamin D on osseointegration in the ovariectomized rat. *Clin Oral Implants Res*. 2012 Nov;23(11):1308-13.
12. Golden KE, Vergnaud S. Vitamin D2: what you should know [Internet]. Santa Monica: GoodRx, Inc; ©2011-2022. [cited 2022 June 12]. Available from: <https://www.goodrx.com/well-being/supplements-herbs/vitamin-d2-vs-vitamin-d3-whats-the-difference>
13. Holick MF. High prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy and implications for health. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. 2006;81(3):353-373. doi: 10.4065/81.3.353.
14. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, Murad MH, Weaver CM. Guidelines for preventing and treating vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency revisited. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012 Apr;97(4):1153-8. doi: 10.1210/jc.2011-2601.
15. Rich-Edwards JW, Ganmaa D, Kleinman K, Sumberzul N, Holick MF, Lkhagvasuren T, Dulguun B, Burke A, Frazier AL. Randomized trial of fortified milk and supplements to raise 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in schoolchildren in Mongolia. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2011 Aug;94(2):578-84. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.008771.
16. Maeda SS, Kunii IS, Hayashi L, Lazaretti-Castro M. The effect of sun exposure on 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations in young healthy subjects living in the city of São Paulo, Brazil. *Braz J Med Biol Res*. 2007 Dec;40(12):1653-9. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x2006005000162.
17. Arnarson A. Vitamin D2 vs. D3: what's the difference? 2018 March 4 [cited 2022 Mar 15]. In: Healthline [Internet]. Healthline Media; c2005-2022. Available from: <https://www.healthline.com/nutrition/vitamin-d2-vs-d3>
18. Hiatt T. The (enormous) difference between vitamins D3 and D2 and why you should never take D2 [Internet]. [cited 2022 June 12] Available from: <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/enormous-difference-between-vitamins-d3-d2-why-you-thomas>
19. Shieh A, Chun RF, Ma C, Witzel S, Meyer B, Rafison B, Swinkels L, Huijs T, Pepkowitz S, Holmquist B. Effects of high-dose Vitamin D2 versus D3 on total and free 25-Hydroxyvitamin D and markers of calcium balance. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2016;101(8):3070-8. doi: 10.1210/jc.2016-1871.
20. Tripkovic L, Lambert H, Hart K, Smith CP, Bucca G, Penson S, Chope G, Hyppönen E, Berry J, Vieth R. Comparison of vitamin D₂ and vitamin D₃ supplementation in raising serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2012;95(6):1357-64. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.111.031070.
21. Oliveri B, Mastaglia SR, Brito GM, et al. Vitamin D3 seems more appropriate than D2 to sustain adequate levels of 25OHD: a pharmacokinetic approach. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2015;69(6):697-702. doi: 10.1038/ejcn.2015.16.
22. Logan VF, Gray AR, Peddie MC, Harper MJ, Houghton LA. Long-term vitamin D3 supplementation is more effective than vitamin D2 in maintaining serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D status over the winter months. *Br J Nutr*. 2013;109(6):1082-8. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512002851.
23. Hammami MM, Yusuf A. Differential effects of vitamin D2 and D3 supplements on 25-hydroxyvitamin D level are dose, sex, and time dependent: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Endocr Disord*. 2017;17:12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12902-017-0163-9>.
24. Houghton LA, Vieth R. The case against ergocalciferol (vitamin D-2) as a vitamin supplement. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2006;84(4):694-7. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/84.4.694.
25. Benhamou CL, Souberbielle JC, Cortet B, Fardellone P, Gauvain JB, Thomas T; Group of Research and Information on Osteoporosis (GRIO). The vitamin D in adults: GRIO guidelines. *Presse Med*. 2011;40:673-82.

Authors' ORCID iDs

Chiril Voloc, MD, PhD Student – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3428-9880>

Aliona Rotari, MD – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3214-846X>

Alexandru Voloc, MD, PhD, Professor – <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8882-3164>

Eliane Kamgaing Kuissi, MD, PhD, Professor – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4594-2582>

Joel Fleury Djoba Siawaya, MD, PhD, Professor – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0369-0153>

Simon Jonas Ategbro, MD, PhD, Professor – <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4069-3791>

Authors' contributions

CV designed the study and wrote the manuscript; AR collected the data; AV revised the manuscript critically; EKK collected and carried out the analysis of the literary sources; JFDS collected and conducted the analyses of the literary sources; SJA collected and effected the analysis of the literary sources. All the authors revised and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

No approval was required for this study.

Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare.